

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/088,584	05/21/2002	Arne Johansson	1807-0160P	1807-0160P 4871		
2292	7590 11/29/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	EWART KOLASCH &	SHARMA, I	SHARMA, RASHMI K			
PO BOX 74 FALLS CH	/ URCH, VA 22040-0747	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	,		3651			
			DATE MAILED: 11/29/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No	Э.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/088,584		JOHANSSON, ARNE				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Rashmi K. Sha		3651				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cov	er sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, ho y within the statutory n will apply and will expir e, cause the application	wever, may a reply be tim ninimum of thirty (30) days e SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communicati D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 J	<u>une 2004</u> .						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	 ✓ Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☒ Claim(s) 1-7 and 9 is/are rejected. ☒ Claim(s) 8 is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 March 2002</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	a) accepted of drawing(s) be he tion is required if	d in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note th	ne attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachmen			-					
2) Notice 3) Information	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4) L 5) [6) [¬					

Application/Control Number: 10/088,584

Art Unit: 3651

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fontaine et al. (U.S. Patent number 3,606,384) in view of Cartwright (U.S. Patent number 6,062,982) and further in view of Baxter (U.S. Patent number 6,578,657).

Fontaine et al. disclose an extension device for vehicles comprising a framework with a front end section (left side of figure 2) and a rear end section (right side of figure 2) where the vehicle has a forward and rearward sections (see figure 1), where there is a first articulation member (36) being connected to a second articulation member (37) for allowing pivoting of the vehicle sections relative to one another about a longitudinal axis of the vehicle and a third articulation member (38) in connection with one of the first or second articulation members (36 or 37). Fontaine et al. also discloses the first articulation member (36) comprising a pivot pin (see figure 2) having a circular cross section connected to the third articulation member (38) having a pivot sleeve (the area of 38 where either the pin connects 38 to 36) or the area where the spring 42 connects to 36) with a circular cross section, two parallel girders (27 and 28) extending between

the end sections, an upper supporting portion (22, 24 or 26) having an aperture (see

Application/Control Number: 10/088,584

Art Unit: 3651

figure 1, both ends of 26) for allowing access to the inside of the extension device, a lower portion (11) and side portion (26), all portions extending between the end sections, and a guide pin (30a) with a substantially cylindrical cross section being arranged on the rear end section.

Fontaine as disclosed above, fails to disclose a cardan shaft and a means for supporting a cardan shaft portion extending through the extension device comprising a bearing.

Cartwright does disclose cardan shafts (26 and 32) that allow relative rotation and axial movement between the connected elements.

Baxter does disclose a means for supporting a cardan shaft portion extending through the extension device comprising bearings (34, 44, 46 and 48).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to replace the linkage system, or a portion of the linkage system, of Fontaine's extension device with that of Cartwright's cardan shaft connection to the framework of Fontaine's invention, in order to provide a way of forming an extension device that allows the shafts to rotate and slide relative to one another. Also, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to support the shafts of Fontaine as modified by Cartwright with bearings as taught by Baxter to allow for the shafts to rotate and be fully supported without contact with the frame.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 8 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Reasons for indicating allowable subject matter has been set forth in the previous Office Action.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 and 7-9 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 10/088,584

Art Unit: 3651

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rashmi K. Sharma whose telephone number is 703-306-5952. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen Lillis can be reached on 703-308-3248. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EILEEN D. LILLIS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Page 5

rks